


## REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE March 6, 1995		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Technical (preprint)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE The Synthesis of Liquid Crystalline Phosphazenes Containing Chiral Mesogens				5. FUNDING NUMBERS N00014-91-J-1194 Dr. K. J. Wynne R&T Code: 3132007	
6. AUTHOR(S) Harry R. Allcock* and Eric H. Klingenberg					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Department of Chemistry The Pennsylvania State University 152 Davey Laboratory University Park, Pennsylvania 16802				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Office of Naval Research 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217-5000				10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER 	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for publication in MACROMOLECULES					
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government. This document has been approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.				12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Phosphazene cyclic trimers and high polymers containing biphenyl side groups with (S)-2-methyl-1-butoxy as a terminal unit were synthesized. The chiral biphenyl units were linked to the skeletal phosphorus atoms of the small molecule phosphazene cyclic trimers and high polymers through spacer linkages. Single substituent high polymeric poly(organophosphazenes) were synthesized that contained the chiral biphenyl unit linked to the backbone through spacer units. Cosubstituent polymers were also synthesized which contained both the chiral biphenyl derivative linked to the backbone through varying spacer lengths and through non-mesogenic cosubstituents. All the polymers synthesized showed enantiotropic liquid crystallinity.  <b>19950313 038</b>					
14. SUBJECT TERMS Polymers, phosphazenes, liquid crystalline, mesogens, synthesis, characterization				15. NUMBER OF PAGES 31	
				16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified		18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified		19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	
				20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL	

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Grant No. N00014-91-J-1194

R&T Project 3132007

Dr. Kenneth J. Wynne, Program Manager

Technical Report No. 24

The Synthesis of Liquid Crystalline Phosphazenes Containing Chiral Mesogens

by

Harry R. Allcock and Eric H. Klingenberg

Prepared for publication in *Macromolecules*

Department of Chemistry  
The Pennsylvania State University  
University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited

# THE SYNTHESIS OF LIQUID CRYSTALLINE PHOSHAZENES CONTAINING CHIRAL MESOGENS

Harry R. Allcock\* and Eric H. Klingenberg

Department of Chemistry

The Pennsylvania State University

University Park, Pa. 16802

Received: \_\_\_\_\_

Accession For	
NTIS	CRA/21 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC	TAB <input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced <input type="checkbox"/>	
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution /	
Availability _____	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

## Abstract

Phosphazene cyclic trimers and high polymers containing biphenyl side groups with (S)-2-methyl-1-butoxy as a terminal unit were synthesized. The chiral biphenyl units [4,4'-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>] were linked to the skeletal phosphorus atoms of the small molecule phosphazene cyclic trimers and high polymers through -O(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>m</sub>- spacer linkages, where m = 2 or 3. Of the cyclic trimers synthesized, with the formula N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>[O(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>m</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>]<sub>6</sub> where m = 2 (4) or 3 (5), only 5 was liquid crystalline, as determined by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). Single-substituent high polymeric poly(organophosphazenes) were synthesized that contained the chiral biphenyl unit linked to the backbone through spacer units m = 2 (6) and 3 (8). Cosubstituent polymers were also synthesized which contained both the chiral biphenyl derivative linked to the backbone through varying spacer lengths

and through non-mesogenic cosubstituents,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OCH}_3$  and  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CF}_3$ . The liquid crystalline behavior of these polymers was studied with respect to spacer length and non-mesogenic cosubstituents. All the polymers synthesized showed enantiotropic liquid crystallinity. Morphologies and thermal behavior of these compounds were investigated with the use of DSC, X-ray diffraction, and optical hot stage microscopy.

## Introduction

Considerable interest exists in the synthesis and physical properties of polymeric liquid crystalline materials.<sup>1-4</sup> Liquid crystalline polymers (LCP's) combine the properties of small molecule liquid crystals with characteristics generally associated with polymers, such as strength and ease of fabrication. Small molecule liquid crystalline (LC) molecules have the ability to form well ordered mesophases. These mesophases can be diverse in structure, and often respond to external electric and magnetic fields. Polymers are typically lightweight, durable, can be easily processed, and have a high degree of dimensional stability. The combination of these two sets of properties allows the development of technologically useful materials.<sup>5-7</sup> Specifically, liquid crystalline polymers are of interest in the development of molecular switching devices, optoelectronic displays, and optical data storage devices.<sup>8-10</sup>

Polyphosphazenes are a broad class of macromolecules based on the repeating unit  $(\text{NPR}_2)_n$ . The properties of these macromolecules vary over a broad range depending on the side group structure.<sup>11-13</sup> Polyphosphazenes are well suited for the assembly of useful LCP systems because the phosphorus-nitrogen backbone is very flexible, and this can serve to enhance mesophase stability, thus increasing the useful temperature range over which these materials can be used.<sup>14-17</sup> The polyphosphazene backbone is also optically transparent in the visible region, which is an important feature in the development of optical devices.<sup>18-22</sup> These properties, combined with the ease with which the macromolecular

properties can be modified by choosing the appropriate cosubstituents, make polyphosphazenes of considerable interest for both fundamental and applied research.

Earlier studies indicated that specific polyphosphazenes, especially those which contained fluoroalkoxy or aryloxy side groups, were capable of unusual mesophase behavior.<sup>23-27</sup> The exact nature of these mesophases is still not fully understood. However, single substituent LC polyphosphazenes have been synthesized which contain rigid aromatic structures linked to the polymer through flexible alkoxy or alkyl groups.<sup>28-37</sup> The LC properties of these polymers are largely dependent on the nature of the mesogenic side group used and the length of the spacer between the mesogen and the polymer backbone. Three reports exist of LC polyphosphazenes that contain both mesogenic and nonmesogenic cosubstituents. In all cases the trifluoroethoxy side unit was employed as the nonmesogenic side groups.<sup>34-36</sup>

Most of the LC polyphosphazenes examined to date exhibit LC mesophases that are of the smectic type. It has been demonstrated that compounds with smectic mesophases, particularly the smectic C mesophase, can be ferroelectric, provided the mesogens have a large dipole moment across the short axis of the molecule. The proper alignment of the molecular dipoles is commonly accomplished by incorporation of chiral centers in the mesogenic molecules. Since Meyer first reported the ability of LC materials to be ferroelectric<sup>38</sup>, many reports have appeared concerned with the synthesis and properties of these types of LC materials.<sup>39, 40</sup> Shibaev and co-workers were the first to report the synthesis of side-chain LCP's which were ferroelectric.<sup>41</sup> However, chiral LC materials which do not form a smectic C mesophase also show interesting electro-optical properties.<sup>42-44</sup> Liquid crystalline compounds which generate both nematic and non-tilted smectic phases can be useful as either passive or active components. Such uses include polarizers, holographic elements, thermographical tools, optical switches, and image elaborators.<sup>45</sup>

Polyphosphazenes containing chiral azoxybenzene derivatives as mesogenic side groups were studied earlier in our program.<sup>28</sup> These polymers had very broad mesophase temperature ranges, exhibited tilted smectic mesophases, and had spontaneous polarization values similar to those observed for small molecule LC compounds. Until now this has been the only report of polyphosphazenes which contained chiral mesogens.

In this paper we report the synthesis of a new series of LC polyphosphazenes with chiral biphenyl derivatives as the mesogenic side group substituents. The effects of spacer length on the LC behavior of the polymers was investigated. Cosubstituent polymers were also synthesized which contained either mesogenic and non-mesogenic cosubstituents, or the same mesogenic group connected to the polymer chain through spacer units of varying lengths. The examination of such cosubstituent polymers allows a better understanding to be obtained of the effects that macromolecular cosubstituents have on the LC properties of phosphazene polymer systems.

## Results and Discussion

**Synthesis and Characterization.** The commercially available chiral unit S-1-bromo-2-methylbutane was allowed to react with 4,4'-dihydroxy biphenyl to yield the monoetherification product (Scheme 1). Compound **1** was then coupled to the ethyleneoxy spacer linkages by a similar reaction to yield compounds **2** and **3**. The chiral carbon was not directly involved in either reaction and, as a consequence, it remained unaffected. All compounds were white crystalline materials that were characterized by mass spectrometry, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy.

The phosphazene cyclic trimers were synthesized by the procedure illustrated in Scheme II. Cyclic trimers **4** (*m* = 2) and **5** (*m* = 3) are white crystalline solids that are soluble in most common organic solvents. The cyclic trimers were characterized by <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy.

**Scheme 1 near here**

Poly(dichlorophosphazene) was prepared by the thermal ring opening polymerization of hexachlorocyclotriphosphazene.<sup>13</sup> The desired poly(organophosphazenes) were then synthesized by the nucleophilic displacement of the chlorine atoms as illustrated in Scheme 2. Structural characterization of the polymers was performed by  $^1\text{H}$ ,  $^{13}\text{C}$ ,  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectroscopy and by elemental analysis (Table 1 and Chart 1). The complete displacement of the chlorine atoms was confirmed by the existence of a singlet resonance in the  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectrum for each polymer, and by elemental analysis where applicable.

**Scheme 2, Table 1, and Chart 1 near here**

All the polymers synthesized were off-white powdery materials. The single substituent polymers were soluble in hot THF solutions, while the solubility of the cosubstituent polymers depended mainly on the non-mesogenic macromolecular cosubstituent. In general all the cosubstituent polymers synthesized were more soluble in organic solvents than were the single substituent polymers. This difference in solubility can be attributed to the ability of the cosubstituents to disrupt side group crystallinity.

**Liquid Crystalline Behavior.** Compounds **1-3** and the phosphazene cyclic trimer **4** were not liquid crystalline as determined by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and optical hot stage microscopy experiments. However, cyclic trimer **5** does exhibit monotropic LC behavior between 115 °C and 109 °C as determined by DSC experiments and optical hot stage examination. The Schlieren texture observed in optical hot stage experiments suggested that the mesophase is nematic in structure.

All the high polymers synthesized were enantiotropic LC materials. Attachment of the biphenyl groups to the polymer chain hinders the melting process, and allows the appearance of a mesophase. This difference between the thermal behavior of the polymers and the small molecule compounds is known as the "polymeric effect".<sup>1-4</sup> The thermal transitions measured for the polymers by DSC were all relatively broad in range, ca. 10 °C - 15 °C. The width of the thermal transitions is most likely due to the molecular weight

polydispersity of the polymer samples. All the polymers synthesized had polydispersities of ca. 1.9 to 3.5. In such a disperse system the lower molecular weight species are more likely to undergo the thermal transitions at lower temperatures than are the higher molecular weight components. The differences in these transition temperatures cause the transitions to occur over a wider range of temperatures than would be the case for polymers having a low polydispersity.

**Polymers with the biphenyl unit linked to the backbone through diethyleneoxy spacer groups.** Polymers 6-8, which contain the biphenyl unit linked to the polymer backbone through diethyleneoxy spacer linkages, all showed similar LC mesophases. These polymers displayed cholesteric (Ch) and smectic A (Sa) mesophases as identified by optical hot stage microscopy and X-ray diffraction. Polymer 6 was liquid crystalline between the temperatures of 125 °C and 226 °C (Table 2). The Sa to Ch transition occurred at 174 °C on heating. The X-ray diffraction pattern of 6, which was quenched at 180 °C, showed only a diffuse ring. This is consistent with nematic type ordering. However, because the side groups contain a chiral center, this type of mesophase is then designated as either a twisted nematic or a cholesteric phase: for the purposes of this paper it will be referred to as the cholesteric phase (Ch). The optical micrographs taken during the cooling of 6 from the isotropic phase appeared as long thin batonnets or "cracks". This texture is consistent with those of previously identified polymeric Ch mesophases.<sup>4</sup> With further cooling these batonnets appear to coalesce to form a focal conical fan texture. X-ray diffraction experiments on polymer 6 quenched at 135 °C revealed that the fan texture is a layered structure which corresponds to the Sa type ordering. Side group length was calculated using CHARMM minimization program and was found to be 22.04 Å. The layer spacing determined from X-ray diffraction experiments was calculated to be approximately 42.5 Å (Table 3). This suggests that the layered planes consist of two sets of side group molecules which overlap slightly and this



is consistent with the structure of the smectic mesophases of previously studied LC polyphosphazenes (Figure 1).

**Figure 1, Table 2, and Table 3 near here**

Polymers **7** and **8** show the same mesophases as **6**. X-ray diffraction experiments on **7** indicate that the layer-like order is lost as the temperature is raised, similar to **6** (Table 3). This behavior was also observed with **8**. The isotropization temperatures for **7** and **8** are lower than those observed for **6**. This is probably due to the non-mesogenic side groups breaking up the crystalline packing of the biphenyl substituents. The Sa to Ch transition for **7** occurs at 135 °C and is consistent with the idea that the non-mesogenic side groups interfere with the crystalline packing of the mesogenic groups. However, **8** shows the same transition at 195 °C, approximately 20 °C higher than the same transition for **6**, which occurs at 174 °C. The difference in the Sa-Ch transition temperatures for **6** and **8** is not easily explained. The higher Sa-Ch transition temperature of **8** (195 °C) could be a result of an ordering of the trifluoroethoxy substituents. It is known that poly[bis(trifluoroethoxy)phosphazene] is capable of unusual mesophase formation.<sup>23-27</sup> Also, it has been observed in polymer-dispersed-LC systems that the mixing of small molecule LC compounds with polymers is considerably less when the polymer is fluorinated.<sup>46</sup> Therefore, the differences in the structural characteristics of the trifluoroethoxy group and the chiral biphenyl unit with the attached spacer may result in the formation of microdomains. However, investigations using transmission electron microscopy and scanning electron microscopy have yet to provide additional evidence for domains. It is also possible these polymers possess some block type structure. This is not easy to determine by <sup>31</sup>P NMR experiments since the chemical shifts for phosphorus atoms that bear trifluoroethoxy and the chiral biphenyl units both occur at ca. -7 ppm. Precautions were taken during the synthesis of these polymers to reduce the formation of block structure by the very slow addition of the alkoxide salts to the polymer and by the use of dilute reaction solutions. Thus, it is believed that the side group dispersion is essentially

random. However, it is not clear if microdomain formation, the presence of blocks of trifluoroethoxy cosubstituents, or both, result in the mesophase stabilization.

The textures of the LC phases for polymers **7** and **8** are identical to the textures observed for **6**. Following cooling from the isotropic melt, all the polymers initially have textures which appear as very long thin batonnets or "cracks" (Figure 2). These "cracks" then change quickly into the focal conical fan texture when cooled to the respective Ch to Sa transition temperatures.

### **Figure 2 near here**

**Polymers which contain the biphenyl groups linked to the backbone through triethyleneoxy spacer groups.** The mesophase temperature ranges for the polymer series **9-11** are narrower than the ranges found for **6-8** (Table 2). The mesophase range for polymer **9** is approximately 46 °C wide, while the mesophase temperature range for polymer **6** is approximately 101 °C. A reason for the reduction in mesophase width is that the longer spacer linkage further decouples the motions of the biphenyl units from the motions of the flexible polymer backbone. This decoupling allows the side groups to act more independently from the polymer backbone. If total decoupling were to occur there would be no mesophase formation at all because the biphenyl side groups are not liquid crystalline by themselves. Therefore, further decoupling the motions of the side groups from the backbone narrows the mesophase temperature range. However, polymers **9-11** have smectic mesophase structures, while polymers **6-8** have both smectic and cholesteric structures. The formation of higher ordered liquid crystalline states with further decoupling seems unlikely given that the side groups themselves are not liquid crystalline. A possible explanation for this behavior is that the shorter spacer linkages do not allow the rotational degrees of freedom necessary for the side groups to "melt" at the higher temperatures. Therefore, polymers **6-8** are capable of forming lower ordered LC states at higher temperatures.

The mesophase temperature range for **10** is narrower than that for **9**. This is probably because the methoxyethoxyethoxy cosubstituent units break up the crystallinity of the biphenyl units. In contrast, polymer **11** has a mesophase range which is wider than **9**. This may be related to microdomain formation or blocky segments within the polymer as discussed above with respect to the Sa mesophase stabilization of polymer **8**.

Polymer **9** has two different mesophase morphologies, Sa and smectic C\* (Sc\*). The Sa mesophase exists between 113 °C and 147 °C, while the Sc\* mesophase exists between 101 °C and 113 °C. The mesophase morphologies were determined by optical hot stage microscopy and X-ray diffraction. The calculated side group length was 26.61 Å and the layer spacing calculated from the X-ray diffraction experiments was 47.2 Å for the Sa mesophase and 44.7 Å for the Sc\* mesophase. This corresponds to layered structures in which there is a slight overlap of the alkyl tails. In the Sc\* mesophase, the smaller layer spacing is believed to result from additional factors beyond the overlap of the alkyl tail groups. Since branching exists within the tail groups, it is unlikely that layered mesophase structures could be formed in which a large degree of overlap exists between the side groups. It is more probable that the side groups are tilted with respect to the layered planes. The tilt angle associated with this mesophase is not very high because the range in which the Sc\* mesophase occurs is narrow. Therefore, it appears that the molecules are just beginning to tilt when the polymer crystallizes. The Sc\* mesophase appearance was Schlieren (Figure 3a), and the Sa mesophase was focal conical fan textured. Polymers **10** and **11** showed only Sa mesophase textures as determined by optical hot stage microscopy and X-ray diffraction. The layer spacings calculated from X-ray diffraction experiments for polymers **10** and **11** were 46.2 Å and 46.6 Å respectively. Polymer **10** has a focal conical fan mesophase texture consistent with the Sa mesophase characterization (Figure 3b). The mesophase texture for **11** is much finer than that observed for **10**. The focal conical fan texture for polymer **10** is generally perpendicular to the field of vision while those for polymer **11** seem to be parallel to the field of vision. The parallel alignment of

the fan texture results in a mesophase structure which appears as small circles (Figure 3c). Further observations of this texture using higher magnifications revealed the presence of a maltese cross through the circles, consistent with the Sa characterization.

### Figure 3 near here

**Polymers which contained the biphenyl units linked to the backbone through tri- and diethyleneoxy spacer groups.** The cosubstituent polymers with mixed spacer lengths, **12 -14**, have very broad mesophase ranges (Table 2). Polymers **12** and **13**, contain 75% and 50% of the chiral biphenyl linked to the backbone through diethyleneoxy spacer linkages respectively. The remainder of the macromolecular cosubstituents are the chiral biphenyl units linked to the polymer through triethyleneoxy spacer linkages. These polymers have the widest mesophase temperature ranges of the polymers in this study, with mesophase widths of 121 °C (**12**) and 139 °C (**13**). The mesophase range for **14**, which contains 75% of the chiral biphenyl linked to the backbone through triethyleneoxy spacer unit, is 78 °C. The increase in mesophase width for these copolymers is most likely a dilution effect similar to that seen when small molecule liquid crystalline molecules that are closely related in structure are mixed together. Current LC technologies use such mixtures to broaden the useful temperature range over which the LC material can be used. This effect also occurs in other polymer systems and is a good indication that by mixing similar side group mesogens it is possible to further tune the polymer properties to obtain useful materials over a wide range of temperatures.

Three different mesophases were observed for polymer **12** by optical hot stage microscopy. The first mesophase appears as long streaks and is probably cholesteric in nature (Figure 4a). X-ray diffraction supports this assignment with only a broad diffuse ring detected in the diffraction pattern, which corresponds to the amorphous-like character of the polymer in this state. With further cooling, these cholesteric streaks change into a focal conical fan type texture. Interestingly, the portions of the polymer film between the cholesteric streaks are oriented differently from the portions of the film which appeared as

cholesteric streaks (Figure 4b). The fans between the cholesteric streaks had the focal conical fans oriented parallel to the line of sight, which appeared as small circles with a cross in the middle. The cholesteric streak portions of the polymer film had the focal conical fans oriented parallel to the line of sight and looked more like the typical fan texture. A third mesophase, which was Schlieren textured, was observed on further cooling to ca. 115 °C (Figure 4c). This transition was not detected in the DSC, probably because of the very small change in energy associated with the change from a Sa to a Sc\* texture. X-ray diffraction experiments indicated that the Sa mesophase has a layer spacing of approximately 46.2 Å while the Sc\* mesophase has a spacing of 42.9 Å.

**Figure 4 near here**

Polymer **13** generates two different mesophases: a Ch mesophase and a Sa mesophase. The Ch mesophase appears as very small dots during cooling from the isotropic state. These dots then change into a focal conical fan texture on cooling to ca. 140 °C (Figure 5). The layer spacing for the Sa mesophase is 42.7 Å as determined by X-ray diffraction experiments.

**Figure 5 near here**

The mesophase textures of **14** correspond to a Ch system and a higher ordered smectic mesophase. Batonnets are observed when the system is cooled from the isotropic state. This texture existed for a temperature range of ca. 5 °C, at which time the batonnets appeared to "pinch off" into very fine Schlieren like texture. The Ch mesophase was not detected in the DSC experiments because of the overlap with the isotropization endotherm. The smectic mesophase has a layer spacing of 44.7 Å. It was unclear if the mesophase is of the Sa type or of the Sc\* type.

All the cosubstituent polymers with mixed spacer lengths showed no significant disordering from the mixing of mesogens since most of the polymers studied showed higher ordered smectic mesophases. These cosubstituent polymers also have increased

solubility in organic solvents and very broad mesophase temperature ranges, which are useful attributes when considering device applications.

### Conclusions.

Only one of the small molecule model cyclotriphazenes showed LC behavior. Compound **5** showed a monotropic LC mesophase which was nematic on cooling from the isotropic melt.

Polyphosphazenes containing chiral biphenyl units showed enantiotropic LC behavior. Single-substituent polymers bearing only biphenyl units were generally less soluble than were the mixed substituent polymers. The liquid crystalline behavior of the polymers depended on the nature of the cosubstituents. The more highly ordered mesophases are obtained with the single-substituent polymers, and with mixed substituent polymers that contained biphenyl units linked to the backbone with mixed spacer lengths. Polymers which contained only diethyleneoxy spacer groups had Sa and Ch mesophase structures. However, polymers with triethyleneoxy spacer groups generated only smectic mesophases and narrower mesophase temperature ranges.

Cosubstituent polymers with methoxyethoxyethoxy cosubstituents had less ordered mesophases, or the more highly ordered mesophase existed over a smaller temperature range than for the corresponding single substituent polymers. However, the trifluoroethoxy cosubstituent polymers had wider Sa mesophases. The reason for this is still unclear.

The most interesting systems were those copolymers with mixed spacer lengths. These polymers were capable of forming higher ordered smectic mesophases and had very broad mesophase temperature ranges. These are generally the most important characteristics when choosing a polymer system for device applications. The electro-optical properties of these polymers are currently being investigated.

## Experimental

**Materials.** All solvents were dried over sodium using benzophenone as an indicator, or over calcium hydride and were freshly distilled before use. All reagents (Aldrich) were used as received. Silica gel (70-260 mesh, Aldrich) was used for column chromatography. Hexachlorocyclotriphosphazene provided by Ethyl Corp. was purified by recrystallization from heptane followed by vacuum sublimation at 40 °C (0.50 mm Hg). Poly(dichlorophosphazene) was prepared by the thermal polymerization of  $(\text{NPCl}_2)_3$  at 250°C. An average of 35 -50% conversion to the high polymer was obtained.

**Instruments.**  $^{31}\text{P}$  (144.8 MHz),  $^1\text{H}$  (360.0 MHz) and  $^{13}\text{C}$  (90.0 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded with the use of a Bruker WM360 NMR spectrometer, which employed a broad band CPMAS pencil probe. Chemical shifts are relative to external 85%  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  ( $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR) or tetramethylsilane ( $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR). All heteronuclear NMR spectra were proton decoupled. Molecular weights were determined by using a Hewlett-Packard HP1090 gel permeation chromatograph equipped with an HP-1037A refractive index detector and a Polymer Laboratories PL gel 10- $\mu\text{m}$  column. The samples were eluted with a 0.1% by weight solution of tetra-n-butylammonium bromide in THF. The GPC column was calibrated with polystyrene standards (Waters). Thermal analyses were carried out using a Perkin-Elmer DSC-7 instrument. X-ray diffraction patterns were recorded with a Philips APD 3600 instrument using  $\text{CuK}\alpha$  radiation. Optical microscopy was performed using a Leitz microscope equipped with a hot stage. Elemental microanalyses were obtained by Galbraith Laboratories, Knoxville, TN.

**Synthesis of  $\text{RC}_6\text{H}_4\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{OH}$ , Where  $\text{R} = \text{OCH}_2\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$**   
**(S) (1).** 4,4'-Dihydroxy biphenyl (6.50 g 34.9 mmol) was dissolved in of 95% ethanol (250 mL). Potassium hydroxide (3.94 g, 70.36 mmol) was then added to the solution. The solution was heated to reflux for 15 min. to produce the salt. (S)-(+)-1-Bromo-2-methylbutane (5.00 g, 33.10 mmol) in of 95% ethanol (100 mL) was then added dropwise to the salt solution over 3 h. After the addition was complete, the solution was heated to

reflux for 24 h. The solution was allowed to cool to room temperature and was poured into 500 mL of 5% aqueous HCl. The resultant precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration. The white powder was then dissolved in ethyl acetate and the remaining solids were removed by filtration. The crude product was then purified by column chromatography using 25% ethyl acetate / 75% hexane as the eluent to give the product in 30% yield.

**Preparation of  $\text{HO}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O})_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{OR}$ , Where  $\text{R} = (\text{S})\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ .** To an ethanolic solution of **1** (5.00 g, 19.53 mmol) and potassium hydroxide (1.30 g, 23.21 mmol) was added chloroethoxyethoxyethanol (4.06 g, 24.00 mmol). The solution was heated to reflux for 18 h and was then neutralized with 5% HCl (500 mL). The resultant precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration and was dissolved in chloroform. The chloroform layer was then washed with water (3 x 200 mL) and dried over  $\text{MgSO}_4$ . After removal of the  $\text{MgSO}_4$  by filtration, the chloroform was removed under reduced pressure with the aid of a rotary evaporator. The crude product was then purified by column chromatography with ethyl acetate as the eluent (yield 50%).

**Typical Single-Substituent Polymer Preparation.** Compound **3** (2.53 g, 6.52 mmol) was dissolved in dry THF (50 mL) in a Schlenk flask. This solution was then cannulated into a 100 mL Schlenk flask containing sodium hydride (0.263 g, 6.58 mmol) suspended in 25 mL THF, and the resultant solution was stirred for 16 h. The sodium salt of **3** was then transferred to an addition funnel and added dropwise over a period of 1 h to a THF solution of poly(dichlorophosphazene) (0.285 g, 2.48 mmol). The solution was then heated to reflux for 6 h. After the solution had cooled to room temperature, it was concentrated using reduced pressure, and the polymer was precipitated into 500 mL of deionized water. The resulting polymer was further purified by reprecipitations from warm THF into  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (x2), ethanol (x3), and hexane (x3) (approximate yield, 67 %).

**Typical Mixed Substituent Polymer Preparation with Non-Mesogenic Cosubstituents.** Methoxyethoxyethanol (0.128 g, 1.04 mmol) was added via syringe to NaH (0.025 g, 1.05 mmol) in 60 mL of THF in a Schlenk flask. After the solution had



been stirred at room temperature for 2 h, it was cannulated into an addition funnel. The sodium alkoxide was then added dropwise to a solution of poly(dichlorophosphazene) (0.24 g, 2.09 mmol) in 100 mL of THF contained in a 250 mL 3-neck round bottomed flask. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. Compound 3 (1.12 g, 3.30 mmol) in 20 mL of THF was added via syringe to a Schlenk flask containing NaH (0.078 g, 3.30 mmol) in 50 mL of THF and stirred for 2 h. The salt was then cannulated into an addition funnel and added dropwise to the polymer solution. After the solution had been stirred for 24 h at room temperature, it was concentrated using a rotary evaporator. The polymer was then purified by reprecipitations into H<sub>2</sub>O (x2), ethanol (x3), and hexanes (x3) (approximate yield, 80%).

**Typical Mixed Substituent Polymer Preparation with Mesogenic Cosubstituents.** Compound 3 (1.25 g, 3.63 mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL of THF and syringed into a Schlenk flask which contained NaH (0.091 g, 3.73 mmol) in 50 mL of THF. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and was then cannulated into an addition funnel. The alkoxide was then added to poly(dichlorophosphazene) (0.26 g, 2.26 mmol) in 150 mL THF and the solution was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. Compound 4 (0.88 g, 2.27 mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL of THF syringed into a Schlenk flask containing NaH (0.060 g, 2.50 mmol) in 50 mL of THF. The solution was stirred for 2 h and then cannulated into an addition funnel. The alkoxide was then added dropwise to the polymer solution and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 20 h. The polymer solution was concentrated on a rotary evaporator and purified by reprecipitations into H<sub>2</sub>O (x2), ethanol (x3), and hexanes (x3) (approximate yield, 70 %).

**Film Preparation for X-Ray Diffraction and Optical Hot Stage Microscopy.** The polymers were cast onto glass slides from either THF or CHCl<sub>3</sub> solutions. All of the films were ca. 10  $\mu$ m thick by scanning electron microscopy analysis. X-ray diffraction samples were made by quenching these films from the appropriate

temperature. To do this, the films were removed from the hot stage at the desired temperature and quickly placed onto a metal plate which was cooled to 0 °C.

**Acknowledgements.** The authors thank the Office of Naval Research and Corning Inc. for their support of this work. X-ray analyses were performed at the Intercollege Materials Research Lab at The Pennsylvania State University. Transmission Electron Microscopy and Scanning Electron Microscopy experiments were carried out at the Electron Microscope Facility for the Life Sciences in the Biotechnology Institute at The Pennsylvania State University. The authors also thank Else Breval for the many useful discussions concerning optical microscopy and for the use of her equipment to obtain the optical micrographs.

## References



1. Ciferri, A.; Krigbaum, W. R.; Mayer, R. B. Polymer Liquid Crystals, Academic: New York, **1982**, 248-273.
2. Phys. Today **1982**, 35, 5.
3. Blumstein, A. Polymeric Liquid Crystals, Academic: New York **1985**.
4. Plate, N. A.; Shibaev, V. P. Comb-Shaped Polymers and Liquid Crystals, Plenum: New York **1987**, 376-378.
5. Decobert, G.; Dubois, J. C.; Esselin, S.; Noel, C. *Liquid Crystals* **1986**, 1(4), 307.
6. Bernev, L. A.; Blinov, L. M.; Osipov, M. A.; Pikin, S. A. *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.* **1988**, 158A, 3.
7. Goodby, J. W.; Leslie, T. M. *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.* **1984**, 110, 175.
8. Lipatov, Y. S.; Tsukruk, V. V.; Shilov, V. V. *Rev. Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **1984**, 24(2), 173.
9. Godovsky, Yu. K.; Papkov, V. S. Advances in Polymer Science **88**, Springer-Verlag:

Berlin, Heidelberg, 1989.

10. Wunderlich, B.; Grebowicz, J. Advances in Polymer Science 60/61, Springer-Verlag: Berlin, Heidelberg, 1984.
11. Allcock, H. R.; Kugel, R. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1965**, 87, 4216.
12. Allcock, H. R. *Chem. Eng. News* **1985**, 63, 22.
13. Allcock, H. R. Phosphorus Nitrogen Compounds (Cyclic, Linear, and High Polymeric), Academic Press: New York 1972.
14. Allcock, H. R.; Connolly, M. S.; Suszko, J. T.; Al-Shali, S. *Macromolecules*. **1988**, 21, 323.
15. Allcock, H. R.; Allen, R. W.; Meister, J. J. *Macromolecules*. **1976**, 9, 950.
16. Allcock, H. R.; Arcus, R. A. *Macromolecules*. **1979**, 12, 1130.
17. Allcock, H. R.; Arcus, R. A. *Macromolecules*. **1980**, 13, 919.
18. Allcock, H. R.; Kugel, R. L.; Valan, K. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **1966**, 5, 1709.
19. Allcock, H. R.; Kugel, R. L. *Inorg. Chem.* **1963**, 2, 896.
20. Paciorek, K. L. *Inorg. Chem.* **1964**, 3, 96.
21. Allcock, H. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1964**, 86, 2591.
22. Allcock, H. R.; Kugel, R. L. *Inorg. Chem.* **1966**, 5, 1016.
23. Allen, G. J.; Lewis, J.; Todd, S. M. *Polymer* **1970**, 11, 14.
24. Singler, R. E.; Schnieder, N. S.; Hagnauer, G. L. *Polymer Eng. Sci.* **1975**, 15, 321.
25. Kojma, M.; Magill, J. H. *Makromol. Chem.* **1985**, 189, 649.
26. Wunderlich, B.; Grebowicz, J. *Adv. Polym. Sci.* **1984**, 60/61, 1.
27. Ferrar, W. T.; Marshall, A. S.; Whitefield, J. *Macromolecules*. **1987**, 20, 317.
28. Allcock, H. R.; Kim, C. *Macromolecules*. **1991**, 24, 2841.
29. Allcock, H. R.; Kim, C. *Macromolecules*. **1990**, 23, 3881.
30. Allcock, H. R.; Kim, C. *Macromolecules*. **1989**, 22, 2596.
31. Allcock, H. R.; Kim, C. *Macromolecules*. **1987**, 20, 1726.
32. Percec, V.; Tomazos, D.; Willingham, R. A. *Polym. Bull.* **1989**, 22, 199.

33. Singler, R. E.; Willingham, R. A.; Noel, C.; Friedrich, C.; Bosio, L.; Atkins, E. D. *T. Macromolecules* **1991**, *24*, 510.
34. Singler, R. E.; Jaglowski, A. J.; Sullivan, A. D.; Willingham, R. A. *ACS Polymer Preprints* **1989**, 488.
35. Singler, R. E.; Willingham, R. A.; Noel, C.; Friedrich, C.; Bosio, L.; Atkins, E. D. T.; Lenz, R. W. *ACS Polymer Preprints (Am. Chem. Soc. Div. Polym. Chem.)* **1989**, 491.
36. Singler, R. E.; Willingham, R. A.; Lenz, R. W.; Furukawa, A.; Finkelman, H. *Macromolecules* **1987**, *20*, 1727.
37. Japanese Patent 04257594, **1992**.
38. Meyer, R. B.; Liebert, L.; Strzeleck, L.; Keller, P. *J. Phys.* **1975**, 36.
39. Clark, N. A.; Lagerwall, S. T. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **1980**, *36(11)*, 899.
40. Yu, L. J.; Lee, H.; Bak, C. S.; Labes, M. M. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1976**, *36(7)*, 388.
41. Shibaev, V. P.; Kozlovsky, M. V.; Berenev, L. A.; Blinov, L. M.; Plate, N. A. *Polym. Bull.* **1984**, *12*, 299.
42. Schiekkel, M. F.; Fahrenschen, K. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **1971**, *19(10)*, 391.
43. Soref, R. A.; Rafus, M. J. *J. Appl. Phys.* **1972**, *43(5)*, 2029.
44. Kahn, F. J. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **1972**, *30(5)*, 199.
45. Chiellini, E.; Galli, G.; Cioni, F.; Dossi, E. *Makromol. Chem. Macromol Symp.* **1993**, *69*, 51.
46. Heavin, S. D.; Fung, B. M.; Sluss Jr., J. J.; Batchman, T. E. *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.* **1994**, 238, 83.

# CHART 1: Polymer Structure.



$\text{R}'' = \text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OCH}_3$  (MEE) or  $\text{CH}_2\text{CF}_3$  (TFE)

<u>Compound</u>	<u>x</u>	<u>y</u>	<u>z</u>	<u>R''</u>
6	2	0	0	—
7	1.47	0	0.53	MEE
8	1.56	0	0.44	TFE
9	0	2	0	—
10	0	1.51	0.49	MEE
11	0	1.62	0.38	TFE
12	1.62	0.38	0	—
13	0.95	1.05	0	—
14	0.41	1.59	0	—

**Table 1: Characterization Data for the Phosphazene Cyclic Trimers and High Polymers.**

<u>Compound</u>	<u><sup>31</sup>P NMR (ppm)</u>	<u><sup>13</sup>C NMR (ppm)</u>	<u><sup>1</sup>H NMR (ppm)</u>	<u>Elemental Analysis</u>	<u>Molecular weight (GPC)</u>
				<u>% calc. (% found)</u>	<u>M<sub>w</sub> (M<sub>w</sub>/M<sub>n</sub>)</u>
<b>4</b>	18.5 (s)	11, 17, 27, 35, 73,	0.98(t, CH <sub>3</sub> ), 1.04(d,		
		115, 116, 129,	CH <sub>3</sub> ), 1.30(m, CH <sub>2</sub> ),		
		129.5, 133, 134,	1.60(m, CH <sub>2</sub> ), 1.89(m,		
		154, 158	CH), 3.82-4.20(m,		
			OCH <sub>2</sub> ), 7.45-6.97 (ArH)		
<b>5</b>	18.0 (s)	11, 17, 27, 35, 62,	0.96(t, CH <sub>3</sub> ) 1.05(d,		
		67.5, 69.5, 72,	CH <sub>3</sub> ), 1.27(m, CH <sub>2</sub> ),		
		72.5, 115, 127,	1.60(m, CH <sub>2</sub> ), 1.86(m,		
		133, 134, 150	CH), 3.74-4.16(m,		
			OCH <sub>2</sub> ), 7.45-6.97 (ArH)		

6	-7.2 (s)	11, 17, 27, 35, 62,	0.65-0.98 (CH <sub>3</sub> ), 1.02-	C 69.0(68.4)	[η] = 1.24 <sup>b</sup>
		67, 69, 70, 70.5,	1.15 (CH <sub>2</sub> ), 1.20-	N 2.00(1.84)	
		71, 72, 72.5, 115,	1.32(CH <sub>2</sub> ), 1.51-1.70	H 7.17(7.20)	
		127, 132, 133,	(CH), 3.2-4.1 (CH <sub>2</sub> ), 6.3-	Cl < 1 (0.03)	
		157, 158	7.2 (ArH)		
7	-6.8 (s)	11.0, 17.2, 25.4,	0.70-1.10 (CH <sub>3</sub> ), 1.20-	C 65.9(65.1)	3.62 x 10 <sup>5</sup> (2.55)
		34.9, 64.9, 65.1,	1.40 (CH <sub>2</sub> ), 1.45-1.61	N 1.90 (2.16)	
		67.5, 70.0, 71.8,	(CH <sub>2</sub> ), 1.70-1.80 (CH),	H 7.43(7.77)	
		72.3, 114.2,	3.00-4.70 (OCH <sub>2</sub> ), 6.20-	Cl < 1 (0.04)	
		126.4, 132.5,	7.64 (ArH)		
		133.0, 157.7,			
		158.1			

8	-7.3 (s)	12.1, 17.0, 26.4,	0.80-1.15 (CH <sub>3</sub> ),	C 67.1(67.5)	8.04 x 10 <sup>5</sup> (2.06)
		35.0, 65.1, 67.1,	1.21-1.43 (CH <sub>2</sub> ),	N 2.42(2.67)	
		69.5, 71.2, 73.2,	1.53-1.74 (CH <sub>2</sub> ), 1.76-	H 7.13(6.91)	
		114.1, 128.0,	1.98 (CH), 3.00-4.81	Cl < 1 (0.05)	
		133.1, 157.5,	(OCH <sub>2</sub> ), 6.30-7.53 (ArH)		
		158.2			
9	-6.8 (s)	11.6, 16.5, 26.1,	0.80-1.12 (CH <sub>3</sub> ), 1.22-	C 67.4(68.1)	[η] = 1.63 <sup>b</sup>
		34.8, 62.1, 68.2,	1.31 (CH <sub>2</sub> ), 1.32-1.39	N 1.73(1.84)	
		70.2, 72.6, 73.2,	(CH <sub>2</sub> ), 1.81-1.90 (CH),	H 7.60(7.47)	
		114.7, 127.8,	3.01-4.95 (OCH <sub>2</sub> ), 6.45-	Cl < 1 (0.03)	
		133.9, 156.5,	7.62 (ArH)		
		158.2			



<b>10</b>	-6.3 (s)	11.7, 16.4, 26.2, 35.0, 62.1, 68.2, 70.2, 73.1, 73.4, 74.0, 114.6, 127.9, 133.1, 134.0, 156.7, 158.4	0.85-1.12 (CH <sub>3</sub> ), 1.14- 1.35 (CH <sub>2</sub> ), 1.46-1.68 (CH <sub>2</sub> ), 1.72-1.91 (CH), 3.18-4.56 (OCH <sub>2</sub> ), 6.45- 7.49 (ArH)	C 64.2(62.6) H 7.62(7.57) N 2.12(2.10) Cl < 1 (0.10)	4.55 x 10 <sup>5</sup> (2.66)
<b>11</b>	-6.9 (s)	11.7, 16.5, 26.1, 34.7, 62.1, 64.2, 68.2, 70.2, 73.1, 73.5, 114.6, 127.9, 133.1, 134.0, 156.5, 158.2	0.85-1.15 (CH <sub>3</sub> ), 1.17- 1.28 (CH <sub>2</sub> ), 1.47-1.69 (CH <sub>2</sub> ), 1.75-1.96 (CH), 3.42-4.45 (OCH <sub>2</sub> ), 6.54- 7.53 (ArH)	C 65.3(65.6) H 6.95 (7.71) N 2.13 (1.88) Cl < 1 (0.01)	5.37 x 10 <sup>5</sup> (2.03)

**12**                    -7.2a                    11.0, 16.5, 26.3,                    0.71-1.08 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.11-                    C 68.5(67.1)                    2.73 x 10<sup>5</sup> (2.17)

35.0, 65.1, 66.0,                    1.24 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.42-1.63                    N 1.97(2.05)

69.7, 70.5, 72.7,                    (CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.65-1.89 (CH),                    H 7.44(7.28)

114.5, 127.0,                    3.37-4.55 (OCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.30-                    Cl < 1 (0.24)

131.9, 132.5,                    7.41 (ArH)

157.5, 158.2

**13**                    -6.1a                    11.5, 14.6, 26.4,                    0.75-1.05 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.06-                    C 68.1(68.5)                    2.06 x 10<sup>5</sup> (1.98)

34.8, 65.1, 65.9,                    1.20 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.32-1.65                    N 1.85(1.93)

67.0, 69.1, 70.4,                    (CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.71-1.95 (CH),                    H 7.49(7.17)

72.5, 114.5,                    2.81-4.45 (OCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.05-                    Cl < 1 (0.48)

127.2, 132.5,                    7.43 (ArH)

157.5, 158.2

14	-4.9a	11.5, 14.6, 26.4,	0.75-1.15 (CH <sub>3</sub> ), 1.18-	7.77 x 10 <sup>5</sup> (3.43)
		34.8, 65.1, 65.9,	1.43 (CH <sub>2</sub> ), 1.47-1.71	
		67.0, 69.1, 70.4,	(CH <sub>2</sub> ), 1.75-1.98 (CH),	
		72.5, 114.5,	3.05-4.47 (OCH <sub>2</sub> ), 6.43-	
		127.2, 132.5,	7.44 (ArH)	
		157.5, 158.2		

a. Peaks were broad. b. Viscosities were measured in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 35 °C, using a Cannon-Ubbelohde viscometer .

**Table 2: Thermal Data for Phosphazene Polymers<sup>a</sup>**

<u>Compound</u>	<u>Thermal Transitions<sup>b</sup></u>		<u>T<sub>LC-I</sub></u>	<u>Mesophase width (°C)<sup>c</sup></u>	<u>Mesophase Structure</u>
	<u>TK-LC</u>	<u>T<sub>LC-LC</sub></u>			
<b>6</b>	125(116)	174(148)	226(200)	101	Smectic A and Cholesteric
<b>7</b>	121(109)	135(132)	198(163)	77	Smectic A and Cholesteric
<b>8</b>	122(115)	195(179)	214(193)	92	Smectic A and Cholesteric
<b>9</b>	101(98)	113(107)	147(141)	46	Smectic C* and Smectic A
<b>10</b>	101(82)	-----	145(112)	44	Smectic A
<b>11</b>	115(105)	-----	169(160)	54	Smectic A
<b>12</b>	105(100)	142(132)	226(194)	121	Smectic A and Cholesteric
<b>13</b>	112(110)	156(141)	251(244)	139	Smectic A and Cholesteric
<b>14</b>	94(93)	-----	172(150)	78	Smectic

a. Thermal transitions were made using a Perkin-Elmer DSC7 instrument with heating and cooling rates of 10 °C/min b. Thermal transitions in parentheses are taken from the cooling cycles on the DSC. c. Mesophase width is calculated from the heating scans.

**Table 3: X-Ray Diffraction Data For Phosphazene Polymers**

Compound	Temp. (°C) <sup>a</sup>	d spacings (Å)				Layer Spacing (Å)
		d <sub>1</sub>	d <sub>2</sub>	d <sub>3</sub>	d <sub>4</sub>	
6	180	b				
	135	-----	21.3	14.2	10.5	42.4
7	150	b				
	130	42.8	21.4	14.3	-----	42.8
8	200	b				
	150	-----	22.6	15.5	11.8	45.1
9	135	-----	23.3	15.5	11.7	46.6
	108	-----	22.3	14.9	11.2	44.7
10	125	-----	23.2	15.4	11.5	46.2
11	130	-----	23.4	15.4	11.7	46.6
12	210	b				
	135	-----	23.3	15.3	11.7	46.4
	110	42.2	21.2	14.4	8.68 <sup>c</sup>	42.9
13	180	b				
	140	42.6	21.3	14.3	8.56 <sup>c</sup>	42.7
14	150	-----	22.3	14.9	11.6	44.7

a. Films were quenched at these temperatures by quickly placing the slide on a liq. N<sub>2</sub> cooled metal plate.

b. Only broad amorphous ring was observed in the diffraction pattern.

c. Numbers correspond to d<sub>5</sub>.

Scheme 1



m = 2 (2) or 3 (3)



**Figure 1: Representation of the layered planes in the Smectic mesophases**

**Figure 2: Optical micrographs of the different mesophase textures for polymer 8;**

A. Ch (x 75), B. Sa (x 60).

**Figure 3: Optical micrographs of mesophase textures for polymers 9-11 (x 140);**

A. Sc\* for 9, B. Sa for 10, C. Sa for 11.

**Figure 4: Optical micrographs of the mesophase textures for polymer 12 (x 75);**

A. Ch, B. Sa, C. Sc\*.


**Figure 5: Optical micrographs of the mesophase textures for polymer 13 (x 75);**

A. Ch, B. Sa.


**Figure 6: Optical micrographs of the mesophase textures for polymer 14 (x 75);**

A. Ch, B. Ch to Smectic transition, C. Smectic mesophase.







A.




B.




A.



B.




C.




A.




B.




C.



A.




B.






A.



B.





C.

